MNRAS 000, 1–?? (2008) Preprint 28 February 2017 Compiled using MNRAS LATEX style file v3.0

On stellar-mass black hole mergers in AGN disks detectable with LIGO

B. McKernan[1,2,3⋆], K.E.S. Ford[1,2,3], J.Bellovary[2,4], N.W.C.Leigh[2], Z.Haiman[5], B. Kocsis[6], W.Lyra[7] ,M.-M. Mac Low[2], B. Metzger[5], M. O'Dowd[2,3,8], S. Endlich[9], D.J.Rosen[2,3]

[1]*Department of Science, BMCC, City University of New York, New York, NY 10007, USA*
[2]*Department of Astrophysics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024, USA*
[3]*Graduate Center, City University of New York, 365 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10016, USA*
[4]*Department of Physics, Queensborough Community College, City University of New York, Bayside, NY 11364, USA*
[5]*Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA*
[6]*Institute of Physics, Eötvös University, Pázmány P.s., Budapest, 1117, Hungary*
[7]*Department of Physics & Astronomy, California State University Northridge, 18111 Nordhoff St., Northridge CA 91330, USA*
[8]*Department of Physics & Astronomy, Lehman College, City University of New York, 250 Bedford Park Blvd West, New York, NY 10468, USA*
[9]*Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94306, USA*

arXiv:1702.07818v1 [astro-ph.HE] 25 Feb 2017

Accepted. Received; in original form

ABSTRACT

The first direct detection of gravitational waves resulted from the merger of two stellar mass black holes (sBH) that were 'overweight' compared to sBH observed in our own Galaxy. The upper end of the inferred sBH-sBH merger rate from LIGO observations presents a challenge for existing models. Several groups have now argued that 'overweight' sBH naturally occur in AGN disks and are likely to merge. Here we parameterize sources of sBH in AGN disks and uncertainties in their estimated merger rate. We find the plausible sBH-sBH merger rate in AGN disks detectable with LIGO spans $\sim 0.1 - 200$ Gpc^{-3}yr^{-1}. Our rate estimate is dominated by the accelerated, gas-driven merger in the AGN disk of pre-existing sBH from a nuclear star cluster whose orbits are ground down into the AGN disk. We predict a wide range of mass ratios for such binary mergers, spanning $\sim [10^{-2}, 1]$. We suggest a mechanism for producing sBH mergers consistent with present LIGO constraints on precursor spin. If our model is efficient, it also predicts a large population of IMBH in disks around SMBH in the nearby Universe. LISA will be able to severely constrain the rate from this channel through observations of IMBH-SMBH binaries.

Key words: galaxies:active–binaries:general

1 INTRODUCTION

The first gravitational wave (GW) event detected by Advanced LIGO (LIGO) consisted of the merger of two stellar mass black holes (Abbott et al. 2016a). The two stellar mass black holes (sBH) in this event, GW150914, are considerably 'overweight' compared to sBH observed in our own Galaxy and the masses predicted from standard stellar evolution models. Furthermore, the upper end of the LIGO stellar mass black hole binary (sBHB) merger rate ($9 - 240$ Gpc^{-3}yr^{-1},Abbott et al. (2016b)) is a major challenge to all models of sBHB mergers and requires consideration of sites in the Universe where sBHB mergers can be accelerated over GW-emission alone. While 'overweight' sBH can occur naturally in the field (Belczynski et al. 2010; deMink & Mandel 2016), a natural location where sBH are overdense in the nearby Universe are in nuclear star clusters in galactic nuclei (Hopman & Alexander 2006; O'Leary et al. 2009; Antonini & Rasio 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2016). A natural location where the merger of sBH can be accelerated is in the massive gas disks in active galactic nuclei (AGN), where repeated mergers and gas accretion can lead to 'overweight' sBH (e.g. McKernan et al. 2012, 2014a; Bartos et al. 2016; Stone et al. 2017).

A large population of sBH are expected to live in galactic centers due to stellar evolution, dynamical friction and galaxy evolution(e.g. Morris 1993; Miralda-Escudé & Gould 2000, and references therein). The mean number density of sBH that can build up within 1pc of SgrA$*$ is $\sim 10^4$pc^{-3} (O'Leary et al. 2009; Antonini 2014), far greater than the average density of sBH ($\sim 10^{-2}$pc^{-3}) expected in a Milky Way type Galaxy, so it is natural to look to galactic nuclei for sBHB mergers. While sBHB mergers can occur at modestly enhanced rates (compared to the field) in nuclear star clusters from dynamical binary hardening

⋆ E-mail:bmckernan at amnh.org (BMcK)

© 2008 The Authors

(Antonini & Rasio 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2016), or capture from single-single (O'Leary et al. 2009) and binary-single encounters (Samsing et al. 2014), we argue that the rate of sBHB formation and merger is greatly accelerated by the addition of a dense gas disk to the nucleus.

A fraction of nuclear sBH will end up with orbits approximately coincident with the gas disk, or ground down into it (e.g. Ostriker 1983; Syer et al. 1991; Artymowicz et al. 1993; Just et al. 2012). Objects embedded in AGN disks are torqued by disk gas and migrate within the disk (e.g. Goodman & Tan 2004; Levin 2007; Kocsis et al. 2011; McKernan et al. 2011, 2012) in a manner analagous to processes in protoplanetary disks (e.g. Goldreich & Tremaine 1980; Lin & Papaloizou 1986; Tanaka et al. 2002; Alibert et al. 2004). Gas torques within the disk can also efficiently drive binaries to merger (e.g. Stahler 2010; Baruteau et al. 2011). We expect every AGN disk to contain a population of merging, migrating and scattering sBH, stellar remnants and stars–many of which may begin as binaries (Pfuhl et al. 2014; Stephan et al. 2016). These embedded objects will quickly have their orbits damped and circularized by gas drag, allowing for close-encounters at modest relative velocities (e.g. Haiman et al. 2009; Kocsis et al. 2011; McKernan et al. 2012). Gas torques will cause the embedded objects (including sBH) to migrate within the disk, and encounter each other on near circular orbits, but equilibrium orbits exist at migration traps (Horn et al. 2012; Bellovary et al. 2016). Recent works have calculated sBHB merger rates assuming black hole capture by the disk or star-formation in AGN disks to explain the 'overweight' precursors to GW150914 (e.g. Bartos et al. 2016; Stone et al. 2017).

Here we attempt to parameterize the rate of sBH merger expected in AGN disks, including the important pre-existing sBH population as well as sBH formed in the disk. We discuss the sources of the large uncertainty in the sBH merger rate and what observations and simulations might help constrain the rate. We discuss several outstanding problems with this model and outline some possible solutions. We outline how continued LIGO observations and eventual LISA observations can rule out large regions of parameter space in our model.

In section §2 we develop a Drake-style equation for the black hole merger rate in AGN disks. In sections §3,4,5 we discuss the critical parameters that go into the Drake equation and estimate reasonable ranges for the parameters. In section §6 we discuss some potentially important modifications to these parameters. Section §7 we come up with a plausible estimate for the rate from the Drake equation. In section §8 we outline many of the problems and future work for this model and in section §9 we outline some basic observable predictions of this model for both electromagnetic and GW detectors.

2 ON ESTIMATING A MERGER RATE FOR AGN DISKS

Here we outline the main arguments for focusing on AGN disks as sites of sBH-sBH mergers, and develop a 'Drake equation' to elucidate the important parameters in the problem. The rate density of sBH-sBH mergers in the Universe, R, in galactic nuclei, Gpc^{-3}yr^{-1} will be

$$R = N_{sBH} f_b n_{GN} / t_b \tag{1}$$

where N_{sBH} is the number of sBH per nucleus, f_b is their binary fraction, n_{GN} is the number density of galactic nuclei Gpc^{-3} in the Universe and t_b is the average binary lifetime in years and we ignore sBH depletion. We can further separate this into rate contributions from quiescent (Q) versus active (A) galactic nuclei. We will say that $n_{GN} = n_A + n_Q$ and $n_A = f_{AGN} n_{GN}$, i.e. all galactic nuclei are either active or quiescent and the active fraction is f_{AGN}. The rate density R, becomes

$$R = \left[\frac{N_{Q,sBH} f_{Q,b} (1 - f_{AGN})}{t_{Q,b}} + \frac{N_{A,sBH} f_{A,b} f_{AGN}}{t_A} \right] n_{GN} \tag{2}$$

where Q or A modifies the previous quantities for quiescent or active galactic nuclei and t_A is a characteristic timescale associated with AGN. In practice $t_A = \max[t_{A,b}, \tau_{AGN}]$, the maximum of $t_{A,b}$, the average binary lifetime in AGN or τ_{AGN} the lifetime of the AGN disk. This is because if $t_{A,b} < \tau_{AGN}$ then most binary mergers happen quickly and early on, but we must still average the observed rate over the AGN lifetime. We show in §3.2 below that $t_{A,b} < \tau_{AGN}$ always. Here we are assuming that all binaries are pre-existing and no new binaries form in the disk (we will alter this assumption later on). Assuming that N_{sBH} is similar in both active and quiescent nuclei, and assuming f_{AGN} is small, we write

$$R \approx N_{sBH} n_{GN} \left(\frac{f_{Q,b}}{t_{Q,b}} + \frac{f_{AGN} f_{A,b}}{t_A} \right) \tag{3}$$

so AGN will dominate the sBHB merger rate in galactic nuclei if

$$f_{AGN} \left(\frac{t_{Q,b}}{t_A} \right) \left(\frac{f_{A,b}}{f_{Q,b}} \right) > 1. \tag{4}$$

Assuming $f_{A,b}/f_{Q,b} \sim 1$, then for a conservative assumption of $f_{AGN} = 0.01$ (Haggard et al. 2010), AGN contribute equally to the rate if the average timescale for binary merger is only $\times 100$ shorter in an active nucleus than in a quiescent nucleus. Indeed, as we will argue below, there are reasons to expect $N_{A,sBH} f_{A,b} > N_{Q,sBH} f_{Q,b}$ in eqn. (2) above, strengthening the importance of AGN as an sBHB merger channel.

However, in an active nucleus, we should distinguish between those sBHB *in* the AGN disk and those outside of it. Fig. 1 shows a schematic of a nuclear star cluster interacting with an AGN gas disk with important parameters used throughout this paper. The AGN disk of radius R_{disk} orbits around a SMBH of mass $M_{\rm SMBH}$. A pre-existing nuclear population of sBH exists, denoted by $N_{sBH,pre}$, orbiting the SMBH. A population of new sBH denoted by $N_{sBH,new}$ are created in the disk by star formation, evolution, accretion and mergers. Some pre-existing sBH and sBHB are already orbitally coincident (pro- or retro-grade) or quickly ground-down into the AGN disk. A progade binary (orbiting in the same sense as the gas disk) has center of mass located at distance R_b from the SMBH.

For those sBHB remaining largely outside the accretion disk, t_b, the binary lifetime and other quantities will remain comparable to the quiescent nucleus case. By an analysis similar to the one above, we can see that the AGN rate will be dominated by mergers among the disk sBHB if

$$\frac{f_d}{1 - f_d} \left(\frac{t_{Q,b}}{\tau_{AGN}} \right) > 1 \tag{5}$$

where f_d is the fraction of sBH in the AGN disk. Since $t_{Q,b} \gg \tau_{AGN}$, we need only a small fraction of sBHB ground down into the disk in order for disk sBHB to dominate the rate. We show below that f_d should be significant and thus the AGN rate is always dominated by objects in the disk. Our parameterization of the sBHB merger rate in AGN thus becomes

$$R_A = \frac{N_{A,sBH} f_{A,b} f_d f_{AGN} n_{GN}}{\tau_{AGN}} \tag{6}$$

which is valid for disk lifetimes greater than the lifetime of binaries



Figure 1. Schematic of nuclear stellar cluster interacting with an AGN disk of radius R_{disk} orbiting a supermassive black hole of mass $M_{\rm SMBH}$. A pre-existing nuclear population of sBH exists, denoted by $N_{sBH,pre}$, on both pro- and retro-grade orbits compared to the disk gas. A population of new sBH denoted by $N_{sBH,new}$ are created in the disk by star formation, evolution, accretion and mergers.



Figure 2. Schematic of the interaction between a binary sBH embedded in a AGN disk and the surrounding gas. The binary lies on a prograde orbit in the AGN disk. The binary consists of masses M_1, M_2 orbiting a center of mass located at disk radius R_b, with a binary semi-major axis a_b. Also depicted is the Hill radius $R_H = R_b(q/3)^{1/3}$ where $q = M_b/M_{SMBH}$ is the ratio of binary mass to M_{SMBH}. The masses have spins S_1, S_2 at angles inclined to binary orbital angular momentum L_b (see also §8.1). In the schematic for ease of depiction, the sBHB has opened a gap in the disk, but this is only likely for a very thin disk or large q.

embedded in the gas disk. This assumption is always satisfied as we show in §3 below.

3 MERGING TIMESCALES

In this section, we first outline the timescale in which a sBHB will merge via GW-emission, without gas. Then we show that sBHB can merge orders of magnitude faster than in the field, when embedded in a massive gas disk. We also show that tertiary encounters with other objects embedded in the gas disk can help merge sBHB quickly. Thus, field sBHB that would otherwise never merge via GW-emission within a Hubble time, can merge within an AGN disk lifetime.

3.1 Merger with no gas: driven by GW-emission alone

For isolated sBHB, shrinkage of the binary semi-major axis a_b solely due to gravitational wave emission occurs on timescale (Peters 1964)

$$t_{GW} \approx \frac{5}{128}\frac{c^5}{G^3}\frac{a_b^4}{M_b^2\mu_b}(1-e_b^2)^{7/2} \quad (7)$$

where $M_b = M_1 + M_2$ is the binary mass, $\mu_b = M_1M_2/M_b$ is the binary reduced mass and (a_b, e_b) are the initial binary semi-major axis and eccentricity respectively. We can re-parameterize t_{GW} for an sBHB with $M_1 = 15M_\odot, M_2 = 10M_\odot$ (consistent with masses of BH observed in our own Galaxy, **?**), on an approximately circular orbit ($e_b < 0.1$) as

$$\begin{aligned} t_{GW} &\approx t_H\left(\frac{M_b}{25M_\odot}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{\mu_b}{6M_\odot}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{a_b}{19R_\odot}\right)^4 \\ &\approx 64{\rm Myr}\left(\frac{\rm M_b}{25\rm M_\odot}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{\mu_b}{6\rm M_\odot}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\rm a_b}{10^5\rm r_{g,b}}\right)^4 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where a_b is parameterized in units of $R_\odot \sim 0.01$AU or the binary gravitational radius $r_{g,b} = GM_b/c^2 = 37.5(M_b/25M_\odot)$km, and $t_H \sim 14$Gyr is the Hubble time. Evidently, sBH with masses similar to those observed our own Galaxy can only merge within t_H via GW-emission alone when separated by less than the radius of an O-star. When our example sBHB merges, it yields at most $25M_\odot$ (modulo mass-energy losses during ringdown), which is less than the observed lightest mass sBH ($29M_\odot$) in the GW150914 event. Evidently several such 'typical' Galactic sBH mergers would be required to build just one of the partners in the GW150914 event. One solution is to propose the formation of sBH that are more massive than those observed in the Milky Way (e.g. Belczynski et al. 2010; deMink & Mandel 2016). Our approach is to consider mechanisms that accelerate sBHB mergers and induce new sBHB formation.

3.2 Merger with gas

Gas disks provide an efficient means of exchanging angular momentum with an sBHB, shortening the time to merger compared with t_{GW}. We begin by describing important parameters of the system. Fig. 2 shows a schematic of a binary on a prograde orbit embedded in an AGN disk. The binary consists of masses M_1, M_2 orbiting a center of mass located at disk radius R_b, with a binary semi-major axis a_b. Also depicted is the binary Hill radius $R_H = R_b(q/3)^{1/3}$, centered on the binary center of mass, and where $q = M_b/M_{SMBH}$ is the ratio of binary mass to M_{SMBH} and. The masses have spins S_1, S_2 at angles θ_1, θ_2 (not drawn) inclined to binary orbital angular momentum L_b (see also §8.1). In the schematic for ease of depiction, the sBHB has opened a gap in the disk, but this is only likely for a very thin disk, large q or a mass stalled at constant R_b. The Hill radius (R_H) of the sBHB, is determined by the competition between acceleration towards the SMBH and the sBHB. Material inside R_H will be deflected to the sBHB but will not necessarily be bound to it. R_H also represents the maximum a_b for a bound sBHB.

We must also choose parameters of the AGN accretion disk, and for the rest of this paper we will be guided by the semi-analytic models of Sirko & Goodman (2003) and Thompson et al. (2005). A binary embedded in a Keplerian AGN gas disk exchanges angular momentum with the gas. While the exchange can take the form of migration or binary hardening, the hardening timescale is shorter than the migration timescale and both are orders of magnitude shorter than $t_{\rm GW}$. Co-rotating gas that enters the Hill sphere is the key element in hardening embedded binaries (Baruteau et al. 2011).

Baruteau et al. (2011) show that a_b halves in $\sim (200)10^3$ binary orbits for a (retrograde) prograde binary. Translating this to a binary with $a_b = R_H$ at $R_b = 10^3 r_g(M_{SMBH}/10^8M_\odot)$ would corre-

spond to a characteristic binary hardening time of only (0.4)8kyr, yielding merger timescales far shorter than a Megayear. Even if this expectation is rescaled by two orders of magnitude due to non-linearities as a result of supersonic wakes (Baruteau et al. 2011; Sánchez-Salcedo & Chametla 2014), the binary shrinks on a characteristic timescale far shorter than the expected AGN disk lifetime. From eqn. 7 the equivalent timescale for an isolated binary with the same properties ($a_b = R_H \sim 4.4$AU) is nine orders of magnitude longer! Once a_b shrinks enough that it is surrounded by a circumbinary disk, gas hardening is given by (Baruteau et al. 2011)

$$\tau = \frac{M_b}{6\pi\nu\Sigma} \approx 300\mathrm{yr}\frac{\mathrm{M_b}}{25\mathrm{M_\odot}}\frac{\mathrm{h/R_b}}{0.02}\frac{\mathrm{R_b}}{10^4\mathrm{r_g}}\frac{10^{-2}}{\alpha}\frac{10\mathrm{kms^{-1}}}{\mathrm{c_s}}\left(\frac{\Sigma}{10^5}\right)^{-1} \quad (9)$$

where $\nu = \alpha c_s h$ is the viscosity expressed in terms of the α-parameter (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), c_s the local sound speed and h, the disk height, and Σ is the disk surface density in units of kgm^{-2}. Thus, we expect prograde binaries to harden in $t_{\rm merge} <$ 1Myr, far less than the expected AGN lifetime.

Of course a $15M_\odot, 10M_\odot$ sBHB merger is still substantially short of the parameters of the GW150914 event. In order to reproduce GW150914, a super-Eddington accretion rate of $\dot{M}_b/\dot{M}_{\rm Edd} \times$ 5(50) is required over a 10(1)Myr lifetime, where $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ is the Eddington accretion rate. In the absence of super-Eddington gas accretion, multiple collisions and mergers between sBHB are required to reproduce the GW150914 parameters from a population of sBH with masses similar to those observed in our Galaxy.

Importantly, Baruteau et al. (2011) find that a binary on a prograde orbit around the SMBH, but with a retrograde orbit around its own center of mass, decreases its semi-major axis ×5 faster than a binary with a prograde orbit around the center of mass. This is because torquing of corotating gas on horseshoe orbits is less efficient in the retrograde binary case, so more gas fills the Hill sphere, creating stronger wakes, causing the binary to inspiral faster. So, if retrograde binary formation in AGN disks is efficient, retrograde binary mergers can dominate the rate of sBH mergers (see §8.1 below).

If the binary center of mass becomes supersonic relative to the local gas sound speed, the amplitude of the spiral density waves drops quickly and the torques from the back-reaction on the binary diminishes rapidly (Sánchez-Salcedo & Chametla 2014). This will be relevant when an sBHB is being ground down into the disk, and when an AGN disk first forms and washes over a pre-existing nuclear sBHB. Thus, we expect that grind-down of sBHB orbits into the disk (see §5.1 below) will harden binaries several orders of magnitude slower than gas hardening within the disk.

Next we turn to another merger accelerant, which also operates in quiescent galactic nuclei, but will be enhanced in AGN, namely tertiary encounters.

3.3 Merger acceleration due to tertiary encounters

Three body encounters can harden binaries. In a gas disk, such encounters will be more common due to migration. Gas torque from interior (exterior) orbits causes angular momentum gain (loss) and a differential torque causes a change in semi-major axis (migration). A sBH (or sBHB) on a prograde orbit in an AGN disk around a SMBH, and which does not open a gap in the gas disk, has a so-called Type I migration timescale of (Paardekooper et al. 2010)

$$t_{\rm I} \approx 8\mathrm{Myr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{3}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{R_b}}{10^4\mathrm{r_g}}\right)^{-1/2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{M_b}}{25\mathrm{M_\odot}}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{h/R_b}{0.02}\right)^2\left(\frac{\Sigma}{10^5}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{M_{\rm SMBH}}{10^8 M_\odot}\right)^{3/2} \quad (10)$$

where N is a numerical factor of order 3. In the case of very thin (Shakura-Sunyaev) disks, a sBHB of mass ratio q to the SMBH can open a gap when

$$q \sim 3\left(\frac{h}{R_b}\right)^{5/2}\alpha^{1/2} \quad (11)$$

and will migrate on the viscous (Type II) timescale (Kocsis et al. 2011; McKernan et al. 2014a, but see also Duffell14)

$$t_{\rm II} \approx 4\mathrm{Myr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{h/R_b}}{0.02}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{10^{-2}}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{M_{SMBH}}}{10^8\mathrm{M_\odot}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{R_b}}{10^4\mathrm{r_g}}\right)^{3/2}. \quad (12)$$

In Bartos et al. (2016) a very thin disk is assumed and so all sBHB will open gaps in the disk and migrate on the Type II timescale. We do not assume that the disk is razor-thin so in our model, whether sBHB can open gaps or migrate on Type I(II) timescales depends on the chosen radial disk profile. Eqn. 12 is only likely to apply in the thinnest parts of the disk (covering a small range of disk radii) since the gap-opening criterion in eqn. 11 goes as $(h/r)^{5/2}$. For the rest of this paper, we assume that Type I migration is the dominant mode of migration for sBH, and represents an upper limit to the time required to arrive at a migration trap (see below).

From eqn. (10), all sBH with orbital radius $r < 5 \times 10^3 r_g$ will migrate onto the SMBH within a fiducial AGN disk lifetime $\tau_{\rm AGN} \sim$ 10Myr assuming a uniform disk. However, the direction and magnitude of the gas torque causing migration depends on local gradients of entropy and density (Paardekooper et al. 2010). Disk radii where the gas torque changes sign from positive to negative have outwardly migrating objects meeting inwardly migrating objects in zero-torque equilibrium orbits and migration stops(Horn et al. 2012). Embedded objects within the gas disk, including the results of gas-driven binary mergers, will arrive at such locations also known as migration traps, within time t_I, depending on their starting location (Bellovary et al. 2016). From eqn. (10), the most massive objects in the disk migrate fastest and will therefore end up at the migration trap first. As they cross the orbits of less massive objects in the disk, they will encounter prograde orbiters at low relative velocities and can form binaries (see §6.1 below).

A sBHB can harden via encounters with tertiary objects. From (Sigurdsson & Phinney 1993), approximately equal-mass tertiary encounters ($M_1 \sim M_2 \sim M_3$) will increase the binding energy of an already hard binary by $\sim 0.2(M_3/M_2)$. Hard sBHB that encounter low mass tertiaries ($M_2 \gg M_3$) will increase binding energy by ~ 0.1 per encounter (e.g. Quinlan 1996). Thus, N_e encounters with other sBH increases the sBHB binding energy by $\sim (1.2)^{\rm N_e-1}$. For $N_e \sim$ 10(50), $\rm a_b$ drops to $\sim 10^{-1}(10^{-4})a_b$ so $t_{\rm GW}$ drops by a factor $10^{-4}(10^{-16})(1-\overline{e}_b^2)^{7/2}$ where $\overline{e}_b$ is the mean eccentricity during encounters. A plausible rate of encounter is $N_e \sim 10$ (Leigh et al. 2017), so gas hardening will dominate sBHB hardening in most cases. Once a merger occurs, the new, more massive sBH is free to pick up another binary companion and repeat the tertiary and gas hardening processes as it migrates inwards in the disk.

Fig. 3 illustrates the relevant timescales to merger for a $25M_\odot$ sBHB around a $10^8 M_\odot$ SMBH as a function of binary separation (see caption). Evidently gas hardening timescales dominate binary



Figure 3. Timescales to merger as a function of binary separation. The solid grey horizontal band corresponds to fiducial $\tau_{AGN} = 1 - 10$Myr lifetime of an AGN disk and the dashed red horizontal line corresponds to a Hubble time. The solid black line with slope −4 represents the GW-emission timescale to merger from eqn. 7. The dotted and dash-dot sloped lines represent gas hardening and correspond to a semi-major axis halving timescale of 10^3(lower) and 200(upper) orbits for binaries at $R = 10^4 r_g$ on prograde(retrograde) orbits about their respective centers of mass (from Baruteau et al. (2011)). The dashed line with slope −4 represents hardening by an average of $10/\tau_{AGN}$ tertiary encounters (lower) and binary decay via GW-emission in between. This curve becomes horizontal at the 1Myr timescale to represent zero encounters on timescales < 1Myr.

hardening due to GW-emission for wide binaries, but GW-emission takes over at small binary separations. A combination of gas hardening and tertiary encounters can quickly merge binaries that would otherwise never merge in far longer than a Hubble time (horizontal thick dashed line at $\ln(1/t) \sim -17$).

SBH can also grow in mass by forming binaries and merging with lower mass objects (other than sBH) in the AGN disk. The sBH will migrate faster in the disk than stars/low mass objects from eqn. (10), so they will tend to sweep up objects as they migrate inwards to a migration trap. If the average time between capturing encounters is t_e and the gas hardening timescale is $< t_e$ then the mass growth from mergers during in-migration will be $\sim (t_I/2N_e t_e)M_\odot$, where t_I is the sBH migration time and assuming retention of half the enounter mass. If there are $\sim 10^3$ low mass objects uniformly distributed in the disk on interior orbits then $t_e \sim 0.01$Myr on average and $\dot{M}_1 \sim 5\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ during migration. sBH that reach the trap will tend to have grown rapidly in mass and will in turn form binaries with the constant procession of other in-migrating objects on orbits approaching the migration trap.

4 ESTIMATING N_{SBH}

Stellar mass black holes (sBH) in galactic nuclei may come from two sources: as a result of episodes of in-situ star formation will form in galactic nuclei due to episodes of stellar evolution, and by inward migration due to dynamical friction from the surrounding galaxy. Two mechanisms may drive the latter: migration of sBH-containing globular clusters from kiloparsec scales (Antonini 2012, 2014), and migration of individual sBHs from parsec scales (Morris 1993; Miralda-Escudé & Gould 2000). The timescale ($t_{\rm df}$) on which a cluster of stars of mass M_c on a circular orbit at galactic radius R will sink to the galactic center (characterized by velocity dispersion σ) is

$$t_{\rm df} = 13{\rm Gyr}\left(\frac{\ln\Lambda}{10}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\rm R}{5{\rm kpc}}\right)^2\frac{\sigma}{200{\rm kms}^{-1}}\left(\frac{\rm M_c}{10^7{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^{-1} \quad (13)$$

where $\ln\Lambda \sim 5-20$ is the Coulomb logarithm (Binney & Tremaine 1987) and a homogeneous stellar background is assumed. Massive clusters on circular orbits within ∼5kpc of a galactic center will decay into the galactic nucleus well within a Hubble time. If this equation is instead scaled to individual sBHs, it is found that a $10{\rm M}_\odot$ sBH at 4pc falls to the center within a Hubble time (Morris 1993).

This ongoing infall of sBHs coupled with episodes of star formation ensures that galactic nuclei are continuously "recharged" with black holes, even as the existent nuclear sBH population is depleted by mergers (including with the central SMBH) or outward scattering. This persistent population will undergo mass segregation due to dynamical friction, forming a dense core in ∼400Myrs (Antonini & Rasio 2016). It is in this dense core that binary formation and mergers may be expected, and this process will be significantly accelerated in the present of a gaseous AGN disk.

We can write the population of N_{sBH} in an active nucleus as

$$N_{A,sBH} = N_{A,sBH,pre} + N_{A,sBH,new} \quad (14)$$

where $N_{A,sBH,pre}$ is the population of pre-existing sBH in the nucleus and $N_{A,sBH,new}$ is the population of sBH that are newly formed in the nucleus due to star formation and evolution as well as gas accretion onto stars in the gas disk. $N_{A,sBH,pre}$ results from inward-migration of both star clusters individual sBHs. In fact, both mechanisms have been predict the number of sBHs in the vicinity of the SgrA* black hole; both yield $\sim 2\times10^4$ sBHs within 1pc of SgrA* (Antonini 2014; Miralda-Escudé & Gould 2000). To understand how sBH numbers scale with SMBH mass, we consider these mechanisms separately.

It is widely believed that the inward migration of globular clusters produces the nuclear star clusters (NSCs) observed in many galactic bulges. Graham & Spitler (2009) find that NSCs are common around intermediate mass SMBHs, but increasingly rare at SMBH masses of $M_{SMBH} > 5\times10^7 M_\odot$. They find an empirical relationship between NSC mass and SMBH mass that may be crudely approximated as a broken power law in M_{NSC}/M_{SMBH}, with index increasing from $\delta \sim \frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{1}{4}$ at $M_{SMBH} = 10^6 M_\odot$, and with a cutoff as NSC mass goes to zero at $> 5\times10^7 M_\odot$. At higher SMBH masses, even if sBHs associated with the NSCs are diminished, the inward migration of individual sBHs will continue.

The dynamical friction timescale t_{df} for inward migration for a given migrator mass and starting radius within a galactic bulge is approximately proportional to the velocity dispersion of the galactic bulge (Binney & Tremaine 1987). N_{sBH} from individual migration scales as $1/t_{df}$. If we assume an M_{SMBH}-σ index of 0.25 (Gultekin et al. 2009) then $t_{df} \sim M_{SMBH}^{0.25}$ and so our index for sBHs from individual migration is $\delta \sim -\frac{1}{4}$.

We can now parameterize pre-existing nuclear sBH population by scaling to predicted SgrA* numbers as

$$N_{A,sBH,pre} \sim 2\times10^4\left(\frac{M_{\rm SMBH}}{4\times10^6 M_\odot}\right)^\delta\left(\frac{R}{1{\rm pc}}\right). \quad (15)$$

Note that the scaling factor 2×10^4 matched the predictions for SgrA* assuming only migration of one of cluster *or* individual sBHs. The correct scaling may be twice the value, but we adopt this conservatively. We also note that only ∼80% of galactic cores

contain NSCs, however this is subsumed in our conservative scaling factor. We take $\delta \sim [1/3, 1/4, -1/4]$ for $M_{\rm SMBH} \sim [< 10^6, 10^6 - 10^7, > 10^7] M_\odot$.

We can parameterize the new BH formation rate in terms of the star formation rate in the gas disk as $N_{A,sBH,new} = {\rm SFR} f_{\rm BH} \tau_{\rm AGN}/\overline{M}_*$, where SFR is the star formation rate in the disk, $f_{BH} \sim 10^{-3}$ is the fraction of BH that form from the IMF and $\overline{M}_* \sim 0.5 M_\odot$ is the average mass star formed from the IMF. Expressing the disk star formation rate as a fraction $f_{SF} = {\rm SFR}/\dot{\rm M}_{\rm Edd,SMBH}$ of the Eddington accretion rate onto the SMBH we find (Stone et al. 2017)

$$N_{A,sBH,new} \approx 10^2 \frac{f_{SF}}{0.1} \frac{M_{\rm SMBH}}{10^7 M_\odot} \frac{f_{BH}}{10^{-3}} \frac{\tau_{AGN}}{10{\rm Myr}}. \quad (16)$$

So we assume new sBH will form in the AGN disk at a rate of a few % of the pre-existing sBH population in the nucleus. Note that $N_{A,sBH,new}$ can be further enhanced by accretion onto stellar remnants or massive stars which then merge/evolve into new sBH. For simplicity we shall assume $N_{A,sBH,new} \ll N_{A,sBH,pre}$ and we shall fold $N_{A,sBH,new}$ into factor $f_{d,*}$ below.

5 ESTIMATING F_D

There are three populations of sBH embedded in the gas disk: (1) those initially co-orbital with the disk, (2) those ground-down to become co-orbital through gas interactions and (3) those formed in the disk. Thus, the fraction of sBH in a galactic nucleus that end up in the gas disk can be written as

$$f_d = f_{d,co} + f_{d,grind} + f_{d,*} \quad (17)$$

where $f_{d,co}$ is the fraction of sBH that are co-orbital with the disk, $f_{d,grind}$ is the fraction of sBH ground down into the disk in $< \tau_{AGN}$ and $f_{d,*}$ is the fraction of sBH originating in stellar evolution in the disk (from in situ star formation and evolution of stars ground down into the disk). Factor $f_{d,*}$ corresponds to an increase in the number of sBH in the AGN due to star formation and evolution. Rather than explicitly changing $N_{A,sBH}$ by adding in $N_{A,sBH,new}$, we will for convenience assume $N_{A,sBH,new} \ll N_{A,sBH,pre}$ and add the equivalent number via $f_{d,*}$. From §4 we estimate the equivalent of $f_{d,*} \sim$ few percent (corresponding to $\sim 10^2$ sBH created in the disk over its lifetime due to star formation). In this section we shall evaluate each of these constituents of f_d. We start by estimating $f_{d,co}$.

If there are $N_{\rm sBH,pre}$ in a galactic nucleus with orbital semi-major axes $< R_{\rm out}$, then if an AGN gas disk of radius $R_{\rm disk}$ forms with average aspect ratio $\overline{h/R}_{\rm disk}$, the number of sBH geometrically co-orbital with the AGN disk is simply $N_{\rm co} \sim (\overline{h/R}_{\rm disk}) N_{\rm sBH}$. Thus $f_{d,co} \sim \overline{h/R}_{\rm disk} \sim$ few % typically. Note that the co-orbital population of stars and other stellar remnants in the disk will be $(\overline{h/R}_{\rm disk}) N_*$, where N_* is the population of stars and stellar remnants within $<$ 1pc of the SMBH. These stars and stellar remnants can interact in tertiary encounters with sBH.

5.1 Fraction of sBH ground into the disk ($f_{d,grind}$)

With passage through the AGN disk, a star or stellar remnant loses energy $\Delta M v^2$ in energy, or $2\Delta M v^2$ per orbit, where ΔM is the mass of disk gas displaced/accreted by the supersonic star (Syer et al. 1991; Subr et al. 2004). Following Syer et al. (1991), the gas disk loses $2\pi R_*^2 \overline{\Sigma}$ per orbit where $\overline{\Sigma}$ is the mean disk surface density encountered by the plunging object. For a star or stellar remnant with semi-major axis $R \sim 10^2 (10^4) r_g$, where $r_g = G M_{SMBH}/c^2$, the gas disk loses

$$\Delta M \sim 1.5 \times 10^{-8} M_\odot \left(\frac{\overline{\Sigma}}{10^5}\right) \left(\frac{R_{\rm eff}}{R_\odot}\right)^2 \quad (18)$$

per orbit ($0.1(10^2)$yrs), where $R_{\rm eff}$ is the effective radius of the star or stellar remnant and the average disk surface density $\overline{\Sigma}$ is scaled to 10^5kg m^{-2} (or the minimum Σ between $10^2 - 10^4 r_g$ in Sirko & Goodman (2003)). Since geometric cross-section and number of orbits drive the total ΔM during grind-down, stars will tend to be ground down more efficiently at small semi-major axes. In the case of a compact object, Syer et al. (1991) argue that $R_{\rm eff}$ should be defined as the minimum of the Bondi radius $r_B \sim GM/(c_s^2 + \Delta v^2)$ or the Hill radius where Δv is the relative velocity of encountering gas rather than c_s^2, so that $r_{\rm B}$ represents the outer point of significant gravitational deflection around the sBHB (Lambrechts & Johansen 2012). If $\Delta v = v_k \sin(i)$ is the velocity difference between the disk gas and the sBH at inclination angle i with respect to the disk, then

$$\frac{r_b}{R_H} = 3^{1/3} \frac{q^{2/3}}{\sin^2 i} \quad (19)$$

where $r_b < R_H$ for most inclined sBH orbits. The mass accreted by the sBH per orbit is

$$\Delta M \sim \dot{M} \frac{L}{\Delta v} \quad (20)$$

where $L = 2h/\sin(i)$ is the pathlength through the disk per orbit, for disk height h and

$$\dot{M} \approx \frac{4\pi G^2 M_b^2 \rho}{(c_s^2 + \Delta v^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (21)$$

Parameterizing the mass accreted per orbit, we find

$$\Delta M \sim 10^{-4} M_\odot \left(\frac{q}{2\times 10^{-7}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{R}{10^4 M_8 r_g}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Sigma}{10^5}\right) \sin^{-4}\left(\frac{\rm i}{10^{\rm o}}\right). \quad (22)$$

At $\sim$ 100yr/orbit at $R \sim 10^4 (M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot) r_g$, following Syer et al. (1991) we expect all sBH with inclination angles $\leqslant 10^o$ to be ground down into the disk over a 10Myr disk lifetime, so geometrically we expect $f_{d,grind} \sim 0.1$. From eqn. (22) sBH will be ground down most efficiently at larger radii, which is the opposite of what we expect for stars. Note that other factors may be important in orbital grind down into the AGN disk. In particular the excitation of vertical Lindblad resonances can be effective in altering the angular momentum of objects (in our case sBHB) on inclined orbits(Zhang & Lai 2008).

From simulations Kennedy et al. (2016) find that the expected accretion rate of stars (and stellar remnants) ground down into the disk and accreted onto the SMBH is $\sim 10^{-2}$ of the expected accretion rate from gas. The average Eddington ratio for the SMBH is $\overline{m}_{Edd} \sim 0.1 \dot{M}_{SMBH}/\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, where $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd} \sim 2(M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot)(\eta/0.1)^{-1} M_\odot {\rm yr}^{-1}$ is the Eddington accretion rate onto the SMBH for an accretion efficiency $\eta \sim 0.1$. If we assume the captured objects arrive at a migration trap in each AGN disk rather than accrete directly onto the SMBH, or remain at large radii, the grind-down rate from Kennedy et al. (2016) implies $5 \times 10^4 M_\odot (M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot)(m_{\rm Edd}/0.1)(\tau_{\rm AGN}/10{\rm Myr})$ is captured by the disk. Assuming an initial mass function M^{-2} (Bik et al. 2003), with all the mass in $0.5 M_\odot$ stars and $10 M_\odot$sBH, the number of sBH captured by the disk is $N_{\rm grind} \sim$

$3 \times 10^2 (m_{\rm sBH}/10M_\odot)(m_{\rm Edd}/0.1)(M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot)(\tau_{\rm AGN}/10{\rm Myr})$ sBH. Assuming $N_{sBH,pre} \sim 2 \times 10^4$ implies $f_d \sim 0.02$, which is roughly consistent with our estimate $f_{d,grind} \sim 0.05 - 0.1$ above.

From above, we estimated that $f_{d,co} \sim 0.02$ and $f_{,*} \sim 0.02$. If $f_{d,grind}$ spans $0.02 - 0.1$ then a plausible range of values for $f_d = f_{d,co} + f_{d,grind} + f_{d,*}$ spans $f_d \sim 0.06 - 0.2$.

6 IMPORTANT MODIFICATIONS TO N_{SBH}, F_B

A small fraction $f_d f_b N_{sBH}$ of the sBH in a galactic nucleus merge during an AGN episode, reducing the number of sBH in the nucleus by $O(f_d f_b N_{sBH}/2)$. If several AGN episodes are possible in a galactic nucleus in the LIGO lookback time, depending on our choice of τ_{AGN}, then in order to keep N_{sBH} fixed before each AGN episode, we need dynamical friction to add $O(f_d f_b N_{sBH}/2)$ to each galactic nucleus during quiescence. We parameterize this effect with a dynamical friction efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm df}$ which if $\epsilon_{\rm df} \sim 1$ permits the replenishment of $O(f_d f_b N_{sBH}/2)$ sBH per nucleus per quiescent time τ_{AGN}/f_{AGN}.

In the absence of gas, isolated sBH can take a long time to form hard binaries even in dense stellar environments (e.g. Rodriguez et al. 2016; Antonini & Rasio 2016). However, differential migration in the AGN disk (eqn. 10 above) increases the rate of sBHB formation relative to the field, since gas-damped sBH have circularized orbits and low encounter velocities during migration (McKernan et al. 2012). In this section we will show that the presence of a large mass of gas is far more efficient than GW bremsstrahlung at absorbing the excess kinetic energy of encounters, allowing bound binaries to form quickly in the disk and elevating $f_{A,b} > f_{Q,b} \sim 0.1$.

6.1 Gas drag within the Hill sphere

A mass M_2 moving at velocity Δv at a separation ΔR relative to mass M_1 in a gas disk has kinetic energy $E_{\rm K} = 1/2\mu\Delta v^2$, where $\mu = M_1 M_2/(M_1 + M_2)$ is the reduced mass of the binary that could result from the encounter and

$$\Delta v \approx v_{\rm K}\left(\frac{\Delta R}{2R}\right) \tag{23}$$

for $\Delta R \ll R_b$. The relative kinetic energy of M_2 is

$$E_{\rm K} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{43}{\rm ergs}\left(\frac{\rm M_2}{10{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^{5/3}\left(\frac{\rm M_{SMBH}}{10^8{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^{1/3}\left(\frac{\rm R_b}{10^4{\rm r_g}}\right)^{-1} \tag{24}$$

and if this is reduced in value so that it is less than the binary potential energy, a binary will form.

The kinetic energy lost by M_2 due to gas drag at an average separation $\Delta R \sim R_H/2$ is given by $dE_{\rm drag} = -{\rm F_{drag}(R_H/2)}$. The force due to gas drag on M_2 can be written as $F_{\rm drag} \sim \mathcal{M}\dot{M}_{\rm B}\Delta v$ in the sub-sonic limit (Lee & Stahler 2011) where $\mathcal{M} = \Delta v/c_s \leqslant 1$ is the Mach number and $\dot{M}_{\rm B}$ is the Bondi accretion rate onto M_2. Thus for prograde encounters

$$dE_{\rm drag} = -\frac{2\pi G^2 M_2^2 \rho_g}{(\Delta v^2 + c_s^2)^{3/2}}\mathcal{M}\Delta v R_H \tag{25}$$

which we parameterize assuming $\mathcal{M} \sim 1$ as

$$dE_{\rm drag} \approx -1.5 \times 10^{45}{\rm ergs}\left(\frac{\rm M_2}{10{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^{7/3}\left(\frac{\rm M_{SMBH}}{10^8{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^{2/3} \times \left(\frac{\Sigma}{10^5{\rm kgm^{-2}}}\right)\left(\frac{h/R_b}{0.02}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\Delta R}{R_H/2}\right)^2\left(\frac{R_b}{10^4 r_g}\right)^{-1}. \tag{26}$$

The timescale over which this happens is $\sim R_H/\Delta v \sim 4R_b/v_{\rm K}$ which corresponds to $\sim 60(R_b/10^4 r_g)^{3/2}(M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot)^{-1/2}$ years or ~ 0.6orbits at this radius. Thus, encounters within the Hill sphere of migrating sBH are likely to be highly efficient at forming binaries. The resulting captured binary has a high likelihood of retrograde orbital angular momentum compared to the disk, which will become important when considering the spins of merged products (see §8.1 below).

Gas damping dominates GW bremstrahlung for almost all encounters in the disk. Approximating the close passage of a low mass secondary of mass M_2 with a sBH (M_1) as a parabolic encounter the energy radiated in GW along a parabolic orbit at periastron $\Delta R = 10^{-4}R \ll R_H$ is (Quinlan & Shapiro 1989)

$$\Delta E_{GW} = \left(\frac{85\pi}{12\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{G^{7/2}}{c^5}\frac{M_1^2 M_2^2 M_b^{1/2}}{\Delta R^{7/2}} \tag{27}$$

where $M_b = M_1 + M_2$, and which can be parameterized as

$$\Delta E_{GW} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{30}{\rm ergs}\left(\frac{\rm M_1}{10{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^2\left(\frac{\rm M_2}{1{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^2\left(\frac{\rm M_b}{11{\rm M}_\odot}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(\frac{\Delta R}{1 r_g(M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot)}\right)^{-7/2} \tag{28}$$

which is $\ll dE_{\rm drag}$ in eqn. (26).

An encounter between two sBH separated by $R_H < \Delta R < R_{\rm B}$ may not result in binary formation. Gas drag will tend to reduce ΔR until the next passage of closest approach on the following orbit. A migrating sBH makes $\sim 2/3\Omega_{\rm orb}t_I$ orbits before it reaches the migration trap, so there will be multiple low-velocity close encounters within $r_{\rm B}$ due to Type I migration at $< 10^4 r_g$. Multiple interactions within the Bondi radius will tend to increase the likelihood that a hard binary will form on subsequent orbits. We ignore encounters outside R_H, R_B.

6.2 Estimating $f_{A,b}$

Since binaries are likely to merge quickly in the AGN disk (see §3.2 above), $f_{A,b}$ should decrease. However, $f_{A,b}$ can increase due to capture as a result of migration. The net enhancement of the sBHB fraction in AGN over quiescent nuclei ($f_{A,b}/f_{Q,b}$) depends on the average encounter rate of sBH with each other in the disk. We can write the total number of sBH in the disk as $N_{sBH} f_d$. From eqn. (10) we can assume that all the sBH within $< 10^4 r_g(M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot)$ end up at the migration trap within a fiducial AGN disk lifetime. They will form binaries with all the sBH at $R_b < 10^4 r_g$. For sBH $> 10^4 r_g(M_{SMBH}/10^8 M_\odot)$, we can very roughly estimate the binary fraction by comparing the surface area of the outer disk with the combined surface area of the Hill spheres for the instantaneous interaction fraction.

From eqn. (10) and using the Sirko & Goodman (2003) disk model, a sBH located at $5 \times 10^4 r_g$ has $t_I \sim 170$Myr. So in $\tau_{\rm AGN} \sim 10$Myrs, $\Delta R/R_b \sim 0.06$. The ratio of the area swept out by this spiral orbit to the disk area is $\sim 0.5(\Delta R/R_b) \sim 0.03$. If we assume that there is a uniform distribution of $f_d N_{sBH}$ sBH orbits across the disk of radius $R_{disk} \sim 10^5 r_g$, and that there is a range of initial sBH masses (e.g. $5 - 15 M_\odot$) to allow for differential migration, the average spacing between orbits is $\sim (10^5 r_g/f_d N_{sBH}) \sim 30 r_g (f_d/0.2)^{-1}(N_{sBH}/10^4)$ which is less than the fiducial sBH Hill radius in the outer disk $R_H \sim 150 r_g (R/5\times 10^4 r_g)(q/10^{-7})^{1/3}$. Therefore we expect $f_{A,b}$ to be intrinsically high due to gas capture in the Hill sphere. Note however that 'ionizing' encounters with retrograde orbiters in the disk and the spherical component of the NSC

can reduce $f_{A,b}$ (see Leigh et al. 2017). For now we will assume an equilibrium $f_{A,b} \sim 0.2$, which is conservative compared to some estimates $f_b \sim 0.3$ (Pfuhl et al. 2014).

7 PLAUSIBLE RATE RANGES FOR SBHB MERGERS IN AGN DISKS

From §2 and including factor $\epsilon_{\rm df}$ from above, we parameterize the rate of sBHB mergers in AGN disks as

$$R_A = \frac{N_{A,sBH}\epsilon_{\rm df} f_{A,b} f_d f_{AGN} n_{GN}}{\tau_{AGN}}. \quad (29)$$

From the Schechter function fit of galaxies in the local universe, the number density of galaxies at least as massive as the Milky Way is $n_{\rm gal} \sim 2\times10^{-3}{\rm Mpc}^{-3}$. Using our estimates from previous sections and discussion we arrive at a parameterization that yields

$$R_A \sim 2{\rm Gpc}^{-3}{\rm yr}^{-1} \frac{\rm N_{A,sbh}}{2\times10^4} \frac{\epsilon_{\rm df}}{1} \frac{\rm f_{A,b}}{0.2} \frac{\rm f_d}{0.2} \frac{\rm f_{AGN}}{0.01} \frac{\rm n_{GN}}{2\times10^{-3}} \frac{\tau_{\rm AGN}}{10{\rm Myr}}^{-1} \quad (30)$$

A reasonable lower bound for this rate comes from assuming that the average number of sBH is much smaller, say $N_{sBH} \sim 10^3$ and $f_d \sim 0.1$. In this case, $R_A \sim 0.1{\rm Gpc}^{-3}{\rm yr}^{-1}$. Conversely, uncertainties in our estimate allow for very high rates from AGN. For example, assume $N_{A,sbh} \sim 5\times10^4$ at the higher end of (Antonini 2014), while keeping re-supply high ($\epsilon_{\rm df} \sim 1$). Let us assume disk capture and binary formation are efficient so $f_d \sim 0.5$ and $f_b \sim 0.7$. Furthermore if we assume average AGN lifetimes, slightly shorter on average $\tau_{AGN} \sim 8$Myr and that AGN activity is $f_{AGN} \sim 0.02$ which extends down to galaxies 1/3 of the Milky Way Galaxy's mass, $n_{\rm gal} \sim 4\times10^{-3}{\rm Mpc}^{-3}$, then finally we find $R_A \sim 175{\rm Gpc}^{-3}{\rm yr}^{-1}$, which approaches the upper end of the inferred rate from LIGO.

8 CONCERNS FOR THIS MODEL

In this section we outline several of the major concerns that this model faces when confronted with LIGO results.

8.1 Precursor Spin

An equal mass sBHB merger with precursor spins (specific angular momenta, $a = c\vec{S}/GM^2$) of $a = 0$ yields a merger product with $a \sim 0.7$ (Hofmann et al. 2016) since the binary orbital angular momentum is the dominant contributor to the spin angular momentum of the merged object. Naively we should therefore expect that all sBH in the AGN disk produced by merger events, as described above, should have spins distributed around $a \sim \pm0.7$. Furthermore sBH that accrete rapidly from the disk gas should also spin up to high prograde values. At first glance, this is in tension with the first few measurements by LIGO of the effective spin parameter (Abbott et al. 2016b)

$$\vec{S}_{\rm eff} = \frac{M_1\vec{S}_1 + M_2\vec{S}_2}{M_1+M_2} \bullet \vec{L}_b. \quad (31)$$

However, several factors can make a difference to our naive expectations.

A key consideration is the fact that a sBHB formed by capture in the gas disk has a high likelihood of forming with retrograde orbital angular momentum. This can be seen by considering a secondary sBH on a faster interior disk orbit entering the Hill sphere of a primary sBH on a slower outer disk orbit. Net gas drag will slow the secondary as it overshoots the slower primary and gets captured. The resulting binary will merger faster than a binary with prograde orbital angular momentum (Baruteau et al. 2011). When a binary with retrograde orbital angular momentum merges, it will produce a larger mass sBH with retrograde spin $a \sim -0.7$ compared to prograde disk gas (assuming accretion is negligible during binary merger). The same is true for binaries formed by capture at a migration trap. sBHB merger rates could actually be dominated by retrograde binary mergers because retrograde binaries harden faster (see Baruteau et al. (2011) and §3.2).

Once a retrograde spin (anti-aligned) sBH ($a \sim -0.7$) has been produced, there are two ways to produce a low spin sBH consistent with the LIGO precursors: a subsequent merger with a prograde spin (aligned) sBH $a \sim +0.7$ or significant (super-Eddington) accretion onto the black hole (Inayoshi et al. 2016). In the first scenario, low effective spin precursors to mergers like GW150914 are themselves the result of a merger between sBH with $a = -0.7$ and $a = +0.7$. In the second scenario, $\sim$ 10Myrs of prograde, super-Eddington gas accretion at $\sim 2-3\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ is needed to change the spin to $|a| \leqslant 0.2$ consistent with GW150914. A third way of producing low effective spin is multiple unequal mass mergers (Miller 2002).

For a fiducial AGN lifetime of $\tau_{\rm AGN} \sim 10$Myr, if we assume embedded sBH accrete gas at the Eddington rate, then an initial uniform spin distribution between $a = [-1,+1]$ is compressed to $a = [-0.75,+1]$, with 1/8 of the initial distribution now spinning maximally. In a merger hierarchy, merger products will begin to pile up at $a = -0.7$ (sBHB formed by capture) and $a = 0.7$ (pre-existing prograde sBHB). Binaries formed from the aligned and anti-aligned populations are disproportionately likely in this scenario and will have low $\vec{S}_{\rm eff}$ consistent with LIGO measurements. Interestingly, the likely formation of anti-aligned binaries in the AGN disk allow LIGO a unique chance to test the spin precession instability (Gerosa et al. 2015).

8.2 Merger kicks

Once a sBHB merges, the resulting merger product can experience a gravitational radiation recoil kick (e.g. Merritt et al. 2004; Campanelli et al. 2007). Since the mass of gas in the disk is much larger than the mass of individual binaries, the orbital angular momentum of the binary and the spins of the merger precursors will tend to align or anti-align with the plane of the disk over time. From (Bogdanovic et al. 2007), a gas accretion rate of $> 1-10\%$ of the BH mass is enough to align the spin of a BH with a surrounding massive gas disk. Since the mass doubling timescale for a BH accreting at the Eddington rate is $\sim 40(\eta/0.1)$Myr, initially random sBH spins should be rapidly aligned (or anti-aligned) with the gas disk (certainly within a fiducial $\sim$ 10Myr disk lifetime).

A merged object will have a spin around $a \sim \pm0.7$. The merged product will have a typical kick of $\sim 20-400{\rm kms}^{-1}$ depending on relative spins and mass ratio (e.g. Merritt et al. 2004). Assuming that the sBHB tend to live in the equatorial plane of the AGN disk, these kicks correspond to minor perturbations of the Keplerian orbits of the merged sBHB in the plane of the disk. Mergers of sBH with spins aligned with the plane of the disk produce the largest magnitude kicks (up to several thousand kms^{-1} (e.g. Schnittman & Buonnano 2007). The probability that a kick will be large depends on both spin alignments and the angle between the spins and the binary orbital angular momentum (Lousto et al. 2012).

The spins of sBH in an AGN disk will tend to be poorly

aligned in the early stages of the disk. Occasional merger kicks may therefore be quite large, allowing escape from the nucleus in some cases. However, in general the kicks will be small and a population of 'overweight' sBH will build up in the AGN disk. As the merger products persist in the AGN disk and as other sBH are ground-down into the disk we expect a population of $a \sim \pm 0.7$ sBH to build up in the disk, with spin aligning or anti-aligning with orbital angular momentum. The result of kicks between aligned/anti-aligned objects is to incline the merger product's orbit relative to the AGN disk by a small angle $i_{kick} = \tan^{-1}(\mathrm{v_{kick}}/\mathrm{v_{Kep}})$. Once $v_{kick}/v_{Kep} < 0.2$, which should be true for most mergers, the resulting orbital inclination angle is $i_{kick} < 10^o$ and in principle the merger product could be ground back down into the disk in less than the fiducial disk lifetime (see §5.1 above).

8.3 Disk heat capacity

The disk generally functions as a reservoir of dynamic cooling (a 'dynamic refrigerator') for sBH and sBHB in the disk, by damping and circularizing orbits. The main source of dynamical heating for orbits in the disk comes from the surrounding spherical population in the nucleus. However semi-analytic calculations in McKernan et al. (2012) suggest the spherical population is inefficient at dynamically heating the disk population. This also suggests the disk heat capacity is large enough to absorb many heating effects (see also Leigh et al. 2017). In this model, three processes heat the disk:1. luminous accretion onto objects in the disk, 2. binary formation within the disk and 3. disk capture of inclined orbiters. Luminous accretion onto objects in the disk should account for the single largest continuous heating source (apart from the driving accretion flow onto the SMBH) and should be approximately $L_{N,sBH} \approx N_{sBH} f_d \eta \dot{M} c^2 \sim 3 \times 10^{42} \mathrm{erg/s} (\mathrm{N_{sBH}}/10^4)(\eta/0.1)(\mathrm{f_d}/0.2)(\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{Edd}}/1)$. Since $L_{Edd} \sim 10^{45} \mathrm{erg/s} (\mathrm{M_{SMBH}}/10^7 \mathrm{M_\odot})$, the luminous accretion onto the sBH accounts for $\sim 0.3\%$ of a $10^7 M_\odot$ SMBH accreting at Eddington luminosity, and is therefore a very small fraction of the disk heat capacity.

If we simply assume approximately half the potential energy of accretion onto the SMBH goes into the thermal energy of the disk, then the disk thermal energy over its lifetime is $\sim 10^{59} \mathrm{erg} (\mathrm{L_{AGN}}/10^{45} \mathrm{erg/s})(\tau_{\mathrm{AGN}}/10\mathrm{Myr})$. The binary formation energy dissipated into the disk is $dE_{drag} \sim 10^{45}$erg per binary formed (see eqn. 26). Assuming that a total of $\sim N_{sbh} f_d f_{A,b} \lesssim 10^4$ binaries form over the disk lifetime, then the overall thermal energy of the disk is unlikely to be substantially changed by the migrators within it.

8.4 Ionizing and retrograde encounters

There are two basic dynamical populations of stars and stellar components in our model; namely a disk component and a spherical component. The disk dynamical component consists of those objects in the nuclear cluster embedded in the gas disk and those formed in-situ in the disk. Encounters between objects from the spherical dynamical component and the disk dynamical component will occur at relatively high velocity and can also ionize sufficiently 'soft' binaries. Outside $\sim 10^4 r_g$, ionizing encounters can dominate (Leigh et al. 2017).

A substantial fraction of the initial population of sBH, stars and stellar remnants in the disk will be on retrograde orbits. In McKernan et al. (2014a) we showed that retrograde orbiters generate wake overdensity amplitudes an order of magnitude smaller than for (sub-sonic) prograde orbiters. Therefore it is much harder for the retrograde orbiters to torque the gas sufficiently that they migrate as efficiently as objects on prograde orbits. Retrograde sBH in the AGN disk can have high velocity encounters with the migrating prograde sBH, leading to GW-burst events (see §9.1). If there is sufficient mass of gas to absorb the excess kinetic energy of the encounter, then retrograde binaries on prograde orbits can form. Retrograde sBHB will merge quickly (Baruteau et al. 2011).

8.5 Lifetime of AGN disks

AGN disks are believed to persist for $\sim 1 - 10$Myr as accretion episodes from a fuel reservoir that is capable of persisting for up to $\sim$ 100Myr (Haehnelt & Rees 1993). However, a model of stochastic accretion episodes due to instabilities in a gas reservoir, leads to a picture of multiple episodes of short-lived disks (10^5yrs) within a many megayear process of exhausting the gas reservoir (King & Nixon 2015; Schawinski et al. 2015). So, individual accretion disks could be short-lived, temporary phenomena within a longer accretion epoch. In the event that an AGN disk event 'lasts' of order 1-10Myrs, most of the above analysis is reasonable for mergers and migration rates that take < 10Myr. Even if AGN disks are themselves short-lived, the time available for orbital relaxation between disk events is short for a long-lived, quasi-stable fuel supply, so IMBH and sBHB can persist between short-lived AGN episodes. On one hand, if short-lived disk episodes occur in the same plane as the fuelling source then the integrated effect of many short-lived accretion disk episodes should approximate our analysis above. On the other hand, if disk episodes are both short-lived and have a random alignment of the accretion plane with respect to the SMBH each time, then the sBHB merger rate will be dominated by the fraction of sBHB orbits that geometrically coincide with the disk. In the latter case, the total number of mergers integrated over N_{disk} disk episodes is $N_{sBHB} N_{disk} (\overline{h/r})$, where $\overline{h/r}$ is the average aspect ratio of a short-lived disk.

9 OBSERVATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

In this section we discuss the implications of the AGN channel for both GW and EM detectors.

9.1 Implications for GW detectors

Binary black hole mergers in an AGN disk imply unique, testable predictions for GW waveforms that would not be expected from other sBH merger channels. Broadly these can be characterized as: High Intensity Bursts, Doppler shifts and populations of SMBH-IMBH binaries and wide sBH-sBH binaries detectable with LISA.

An encounter between an IMBH of $\sim 100 M_\odot$ and a $10 M_\odot$ sBH in a low-gas environment can produce GW at maximum $\nu \sim 20$Hz. From (Kovacs & Thorne. 1978), a GW bremsstrahlung emitting encounter results in a burst peaking at frequency $\gamma \Delta v/b$ and lasts $b/\gamma \Delta v$ with power $\propto \gamma^4$ where b is the impact parameter and $\gamma = (1 - (\Delta v/c)^2)^{-1/2}$ is the Lorentz factor. We can write $v_{\mathrm{Kep}} \sim c/(R/r_g)^{1/2}$, where R/r_g denotes the semi-major axis of an orbiter in units of r_g. For pro-(retro-) grade encounters in the disk the relative velocity can be written as $\Delta v \sim v_{\mathrm{Kep}}(1 \pm (1 + \Delta R/2R))$, assuming $\Delta R \ll R$. We can parameterize the Lorentz factor of the encounter as

$$\gamma = \left(1 - \left(\frac{r_g}{a}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 \pm \left(1 + \frac{\Delta R}{2R}\right)\right)\right)^{-1/2}. \quad (32)$$

Maximum power in a burst of GW bremsstrahlung occurs when γ reaches a maximum. For $\Delta R \ll R$, retrograde encounters in the disk at small radii produce the largest γ, with impact parameter $b \sim \Delta R$. For retrograde bursts to enter the LIGO frequency band ($\sim 10 - 10^3$Hz) at moderate velocity ($\gamma \sim$ few) requires $\Delta v/\Delta R \sim 10 - 10^3$Hz. This is most likely for retrograde encounters at small disk radii around small SMBH, i.e. an encounter at $20r_g(M_{SMBH}/10^6 M_\odot)$ with impact parameter $\Delta R < 0.1 r_g M_6$.

In the early stages of capture when a binary may be quite eccentric, LIGO could detect bursts during peri-center passage (Kocsis et al. 2006). In this case, multiple bursts are predicted by our model as circularization occurs over a timescale given by eqn. (7). Given a capture radius equivalent to the sBH Hill sphere, a high eccentricity capture (say $e_b \sim 0.9$) leads to a reduction in t_{GW} by a factor of $\sim 10^3$ over eqn. (7). Bursts can also occur when the AGN disk-phase ends, $M_{sBH} > M_{gas}$ and sBH encounters dynamically heat the sBH population.

A merging binary orbiting an SMBH will have a Doppler shift at the migration trap radius $r_m(r_g)$ of $c/\sqrt{r_m}$ (Yunes et al. 2011). This is not detectable with LIGO, since there are too few cycles during chirp, but such an effect will be detectable with LISA. The binary eccentricity (e_b) may also be excited by tertiary encounters (Perets et al. 2007), or by the SMBH itself (Antonini & Perets 2012), which will be imprinted on a precise measurement of the GW phase (O'Leary et al. 2009) and $\dot{\nu}_{GW}$ will look different due to gas torques.

LISA may be expected to detect waveforms from the sBH-sBH binaries at wider separation. LIGO cannot detect these systems because there are too few cycles before merger and GW emission dominates (well after gas torques have done their work in driving the binary close enough to merge via GW). If the mechanisms described here are efficient, we expect an IMBH to build up at the migration trap in an AGN disk. A circularized IMBH-SMBH binary emits GW of frequency

$$\nu_{GW} = \frac{G^{1/2} M_b^{1/2}}{\pi a_b^{3/2}} \approx 2 M_6^{-1} a_{10}^{-3/2} \mathrm{mHz} \quad (33)$$

where $M_6 = M_b/10^6 M_\odot$ is the binary mass in units of $10^6 M_\odot$and $a_{10} = a_b/10 r_g$. So IMBH-SMBH binaries at $a_b < 10^2 r_g$ around $< 10^7 M_\odot$ SMBH are detectable within the eLISA band $(10^{-4} - 1)$Hz. The detectable strain is

$$h \approx 10^{-20} \left(\frac{a}{10 r_g}\right) \left(\frac{D}{100\mathrm{Mpc}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu_b}{10^2 M_\odot}\right) \quad (34)$$

where $\mu_b = M_1 M_2/M_b$ is the binary reduced mass. So an IMBH at a migration trap ($a \sim 10^2 r_g$) around a SMBH $< 10^7 M_\odot$ will be detectable with eLISA at modest S/N in a year's observation (McKernan et al. 2014a). Indeed, gas torques on the IMBH-SMBH may be detected by LISA (Kocsis et al. 2011).

9.2 Implications for EM detectors

Binary formation encounters are likely to be energetic, but unfortunately, they will suffer from too little contrast with the AGN disk to allow their detection. From eqn. (26), for a fiducial encounter in the outer AGN disk, $\sim 10^{45}$ ergs is absorbed by gas within the Hill sphere of an sBH over a few decades. If this braking energy were completely thermalized, the resulting luminosity of $\sim 10^{36}$erg/s is large, but many orders of magnitude less than the AGN luminosity ($> 10^{44}$erg/s).

As sufficiently massive intermediate mass black holes (IMBH) are built up at migration traps, a range of observational signatures may result. Gaps and cavity formation in the accretion flow can occur once the IMBH dominates the local co-rotating disk mass, which is likely at mass ratios $q > 10^{-4}$. Elsewhere we have discussed how the resulting changes in the spatial distribution of gas and oscillations on the dynamical timescale of the accreting IMBH can show up in the broad component of the FeKα emission line and more generally in optical,UV and X-ray spectral signatures (e.g. see our previous discussions in McKernan et al. 2013, 2014a, 2015). Temporal and energetic asymmetries in the X-ray signatures are best detected using micro-calorimeters (such as the one that flew on *Hitomi*).

If the AGN disk channel for sBH mergers is efficient, then stars and stellar remnants will also merge in AGN disks, yielding a supernova excess over the rate due to star formation in the disk. Large optical surveys of quasar disks (e.g. Drake et al. 2009) are capable of placing upper bounds on the total supernova rates from quasar disks, thereby placing limits on the efficiency of this channel in producing sBH mergers (Graham et al. 2017; Stern et al. 2017).

Extreme mass ratio inspirals (EMRIs) due to mergers between stellar mass objects and the central supermassive black hole are also likely to occur if the dynamics outlined above are efficient in most AGN disks. The final stages of a EMRI will last several years and during this time, the infalling object can dominate the co-rotating gas mass in the innermost disk. Such a plunge can dim the innermost regions of the disk, leading to a drop in the X-ray/UV continuum over a timescale of years, but leaving the optical/IR continuum undisturbed. In this case, we should expect a drop in broad line equivalent widths after a time-lag corresponding to the light-travel time between the inner disk and the broad line region. Such behaviour has been observed in quasars (Stern et al. 2017) and constraints on the rate of EMRIs in AGN disks can be found by searching for such effects in large samples of quasar disks (Graham et al. 2017).

As the AGN phase ends, the disk of sBH will interact dynamically and the distribution of orbital parameters of the sBH will relax. The relaxation time is given by Binney & Tremaine (1987)

$$t_{relax} = \frac{C\sigma^3}{G^2 M_{disk} \rho_{disk} \ln\Lambda} \quad (35)$$

where $C \sim 1$, σ is the sBH velocity dispersion, M_{disk} is the mass of the sBH disk (no gas), ρ_{disk} is the density of the sBH disk and $\ln\Lambda \sim 5 - 20$ is the Coulomb parameter. Parameterizing as

$$t_{relax} \approx 125\mathrm{Myr} \frac{C}{1} \left(\frac{\sigma}{10^3 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{N_{sBH}}{10^4}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{M_{sBH}}{10 M_\odot}\right)^{-2} \times \left(\frac{\overline{h/R}_{disk}}{0.02}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{R_{disk}}{1\mathrm{pc}}\right)^{-3} \left(\frac{\ln\Lambda}{10}\right)^{-1} \quad (36)$$

we find that a dense sBH disk will relax well before the next typical AGN episode, assuming an AGN duty cycle of 1%, i.e. 1Gyr intervals between $\tau_{AGN} \sim 10$Myr of activity. Nevertheless, disks of sBH should persist in $\sim 10\%$ of galactic nuclei and will act dynamically to relax populations of stars formed from the ending of the AGN disk, faster than expected from the observed stellar population alone.

10 CONCLUSIONS

We find that stellar mass black hole binaries (sBHB) merge naturally in AGN disks at a rate spanning $0.1 - 200$ Gpc^{-3}yr^{-1}, con-

sistent with the inferred rate from the present handful of LIGO detections. We predict a wide range of merger mass ratios for this channel spanning $\sim [10^{-2}, 1]$. Binaries formed by capture in the disk have a high likelihood of forming with retrograde orbital angular momentum compared to the gas flow and may merge faster than prograde binaries, resulting in a population of sBH with retrograde spin ($a = -0.7$) compared to the gas flow. Such sBH can be spun down by gas accretion or form low $\vec{S}_{\rm eff}$ binaries consistent with the low effective spin parameters measured by LIGO. Alone among the LIGO sBH-sBH merger channels, the AGN disk model predicts the efficient formation of a population of IMBH-SMBH binaries that will be detectable with LISA. Thus, the rate of mergers expected from this channel will be strongly constrained by LISA.

11 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

We are grateful to the organizers of the productive LIGO workshop at KITP in July-August 2016 for useful conversations with many of the participants on modelling this sub-channel for LIGO. Thanks to Nick Stone for very useful conversations. BM & KESF are supported by NSF PAARE AST-1153335 and NSF PHY11-25915. BM & KESF thank CalTech/JPL and NASA GSFC for support during sabbatical. M-MML is supported by NSF AST11-09395.

REFERENCES

Abbott B.P. et al., 2016, PhRvL, 116,1102
Abbott B.P. et al., 2016, ApJL, 833,L1
Alibert Y., Mordasini C. & Benz W., 2004, A&A, 417, L25
Antonini F., Capuzzo-Dolcetta R., Mastrobuono-Battisti A. & Merritt D., 2012, ApJ, 750, 111
Antonini F. & Perets H.B., 2012, ApJ, 757, 27
Antonini F., 2014, ApJ, 794, 106
Antonini F. & Rasio F., 2016, ApJ (submitted), arXiv:1606.04889
Artymowicz P., Lin D.N.C. & Wampler E.J., 1993, ApJ, 409, 592
Bartos I., Kocsis B., Haiman Z. & Márka S., 2016, ApJ, submitted (arXiv:1602.0383)
Baruteau C., Cuadra J. & Lin D.N.C., 2011, ApJ, 726, 28
Bellovary J., Mac Low M.-M., McKernan B. & Ford K.E.S., 2016, ApJ, 819, L17
Belczynski K., Bulik T., Fryer C.L., Ruiter A., Valsecchi F., Vink J.S. & Hurley J.R., 2010, ApJ, 714, 1217
Bik A., Lamers H. J.G.L.M., Bastian N., Panagia N. & Romaniello M., 2003, A&A, 397, 473
Binney J. & Tremaine S., 1987, Galactic Dynamics, Princeton University Press
Bogdanovic T., Reynolds C.S. & Miller M.C., 2007, ApJ, 661, L147
Campanelli M., Lousto C.O., Zlochower Y. & Merritt D., 2007, PhRvL, 98, 231102
Drake A.J. et al., 2009, ApJ, 696, 870
Duffell P.C. et al., 2014, ApJ, 792, L10
deMink S. & Mandel I., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 3545
Gerosa D. et al., 2015, Phys Rev Lett, 115, 141102
Goldreich P. & Tremaine S., 1980, ApJ, 241, 425
Goodman J. & Tan J.C., 2004, ApJ, 608, 108
Graham A.W. & Spitler L.R., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 2148
Graham M. et al., 2017, MNRAS, submitted
Gultekin K. et al., 2009, ApJ, 698, 198
Haehnelt M.G. & Rees M., 1993, MNRAS, 263, 168
Haiman Z., Kocsis B. & Menou K., 2009, ApJ, 700, 1952
Haggard D. et al., 2010, ApJ, 723, 2
Hofmann F., Barausse E. & Rezzolla L., 2016, arXiv:1605.01938
Hopman C. & Alexander T., 2006, ApJ, 645, L133
Horn B., Lyra W., Mac Low M.-M. & Sándor Z., 2012, ApJ, 750, 34
Inayoshi K., Haiman Z. & Ostriker J.P., 2016, MNRAS, 459, 3738
Just A. et al. 2012, ApJ, 758, 51
Kennedy G. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 240
Kim H. & Kim W.-T., 2007, ApJ, 665, 432
King A. & Nixon C.J., 2015, MNRAS, 453, L46
Kocsis B., Gáspár M.E. & Márka S., 2006, ApJ, 648, 411
Kocsis B., Yunes N. & Loeb A., 2011, PRD, 84, 024032
Kovacs S.J. Jr. & Thorne K.S., 1978, ApJ, 224, 62
Lambrechts M. & Johansen A., 2012, A&A, 544, 32
Lee A.T. & Stahler S.W., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 3177L
Leigh N.W.C., Böker T. & Knigge C., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2130
Leigh N.W.C. et al., 2017, MNRAS (in prep.)
Levin Y., 2007, MNRAS, 374, 515
Lin M.-K. & Papaloizou J.C.B., 2010, MNRAS, 405, 1473
Lin D.N.C. & Papaloizou J.C.B., 1986, ApJ, 309, L846
Lousto C.O., Zlochower Y., Dotti M. & Volonteri M., 2012, PRD, 85, 084015
Masset F.S. & Papaloizou J.C.B., 2003, ApJ, 588, 494
McKernan B. et al, 2011, MNRAS, 417, L103
McKernan B., Ford K.E.S., Lyra W. & Perets H.B., 2012, MNRAS, 425, 460
McKernan B., Ford K.E.S., Kocsis B. & Haiman Z., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 1468
McKernan B., Ford K.E.S., Kocsis B., Lyra W. & Winter L.M., 2014, MNRAS, 441, 900
McKernan B. & Ford K.E.S., 2015, MNRAS, 452, L1
Merrit D., Milosavljevi'c M., Favata M., Hughes S.A. & Holz D.E., 2004, ApJ, 607, L9
Miller M.C., 2002, ApJ, 581, 438
Miralda-Escudé J. & Gould A., 2000, ApJ, 545, 847
Morris M., 1993, ApJ, 408, 496
Nayakshin S., Cuadra J. & Springel V., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 21
O'Leary R.M., Kocsis B. & Loeb A., 2009, MNRAS, 395, 2127
Ostriker J.P., 1983, ApJ, 273, 99
Paardekooper S.-J., Baruteau C., Crida A. & Kley W., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1950
Perets H.B., Hopman C. & Alexander T., 2007, ApJ, 656, 709
Peters P.C. & Mathews, 1963, Physical Review, 131, 435
Peters P.C., 1964, Physical Review, 136, 1224
Pfuhl O. et al., 2014, ApJ, 782, 101
Quinlan G.D. & Shapiro S.L., 1989, ApJ, 343, 725
Quinlan G.D., 1996, New Astronomy, 1, 35
Rodriquez C. et al. 2016, arXiv. etc.
Samsing J., MacLeod M. & Ramirez-Ruiz E. 2014, ApJ, 784, 71
Sánchez-Salcedo F. J. & Chametla R.O. 2014, ApJ, 794, 167
Schawinski K., Koss M., Berney S. & Sartori L.F. 2015, ApJ, 451, 2517
Schnittman J.D. & Buonanno A. 2007, ApJ, 662, L63
Shakura N.I. & Sunyaev R.A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337
Sigurdsson S. & Phinney S.L. 1993, ApJ, 415, 631
Sirko E. & Goodman J. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 501
Stahler S.W., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 1758
Stephan A.P., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 3494
Stern D. et al., 2017, ApJ, submitted
Stone N.C. Metzger B.D. & Haiman Z., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 946
Subr L. Karas V. & Hur'e J.-M., 2004, MNRAS, 354, 1177
Syer D., Clarke C. & Rees M.J., 1991, MNRAS, 250, 505
Tanaka T., Takeuchi T. & Ward W.R. 2002, ApJ, 580, 506
Thompson T.A., Quataert E. & Murray N. 2005, ApJ, 630, 167
Yunes N., Miller M.C. & Thornburg J. 2011, PRD, 83, 044030
Zhang, H. & Lai D. 2008, MNRAS, 368, 917